PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 01.03.2004 PCT/GB2005/000752 01.03.2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07D277/56, C07D307/68, C07D213/73, C07D333/38, C07D207/34, C07D233/90, C07D487/04, C07D417/12, Applicant SPIROGEN LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☑ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ☑ Box No. V applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1*bis*(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date. whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized Officer Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Helps, I

•

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8209



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000752

IAP5 Rec'd PCT/PTO 3 0 AUG 2006

| _ | Box | No. I | Basis of the opinion | | | | |
|------------------------|--|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 1. | With the la | regar angua | d to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | | |
| | 1 | angua | pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search r Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). | | | | |
| 2. | . With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: | | | | | | |
| a. type of material: | | | | | | | |
| | K |) as | sequence listing | | | | |
| | |] tat | ple(s) related to the sequence listing | | | | |
| b. format of material: | | | | | | | |
| | Z |) in | written format | | | | |
| | Ø |] in | computer readable form | | | | |
| | c. time of filing/furnishing: | | | | | | |
| | |] co | ontained in the international application as filed. | | | | |
| | |) file | ed together with the international application in computer readable form. | | | | |
| | Ø | ₫ fu | rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. | | | | |
| 3 | . 🗵 | has b | dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished. | | | | |
| 4 | 4. Additional comments: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| _ | Вох | No. | II Priority | | | | |
| 1 | . 🗵 | does | validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where ired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the imption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date. | | | | |
| 2 | . 🗆 | has | opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. | | | | |
| 3 | . Ado | ditiona | at observations, if necessary: | | | | |

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000752

| | Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|-------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The obv | The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: | | | | | | | |
| | the entire international application, | | | | | | | |
| \boxtimes | claims Nos. 25(part) | | | | | | | |
| bec | ause: | | | | | | | |
| | the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): | | | | | | | |
| | the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | | | | | | |
| | the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. | | | | | | | |
| Ø | no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 25(part) | | | | | | | |
| | the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that: | | | | | | | |
| | the written form | | has not been furnished | | | | | |
| | | | does not comply with the standard | | | | | |
| | the computer readable form | | has not been furnished | | | | | |
| | | | does not comply with the standard | | | | | |
| | the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, d not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. | | | | | | | |
| | See separate sheet for further | detai | ils | | | | | |

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

6-26

No: Claims

1-5

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

6-26

No: Claims

1-5

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-24, 26

No: Claims

25 see below

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following detects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

V. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

The following documents are mentioned in this Written Opinion

| Tetrahedron, vol.31, p.2936-9 (1975) | (A) | | | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, | | | | | | |
| vol.45, p.4443-59 (2002) | (B) | | | | | |
| Journal of the American Chemical Society, | | | | | | |
| vol.76, p.4543-5 (1954) | (C) | | | | | |
| Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters, | | | | | | |
| vol. 7, p.1595-1600 (1997) | (D) | | | | | |
| Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, | | | | | | |
| vol.39, p.217-23 (1996) | (E) | | | | | |
| WO-A-99 46244 | (F) | | | | | |
| US-A-2002 123634 | (G) | | | | | |
| Journal of the American Chemical Society, | | | | | | |
| vol.124, p.10676-82 (2002) | (H) | | | | | |
| Chemical European Journal, vol.9, | | | | | | |
| p.2110-2 (2003) | (I) | | | | | |
| Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters, | | | | | | |
| vol.13, p.2277-80 (2003) | (J) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Document (A) discloses the methyl ester of 5-(3-aminophenyl)-furan-2-carboxylic acid (see table, compound 41), and also the corresponding 4-aminophenyl derivative (compound 31). These compounds are novel destroying for claim 1 in which A is a furan ring and B is a phenyl ring, with Z' being a methyl ester group and Z being H. Claim 2 is also not novel because compound 31 is not disclaimed. Claim 3 is also not novel because the compounds of (A) do not fall under the scope of the disclaimers. Claim 4 is also not novel because methyl esters fall under the definition "protected hydroxyl" as given on page 9 of the description. Claim 5 is also not novel because the biaryl compounds of (A) comprise a phenylene and a C5 arylene.

Document (B) discloses 5-(3-aminophenyl)-4-oxalylamino-thiophene-2-carboxylic acid (see table 2, compound 8l. This compound is prepared from the corresponding methyl ester

(see Scheme 1, compound 7I) and 5-(3-aminophenyl)-3-amino-thiophene-2-carboxylic acid methyl ester (Scheme 1, compound 6I). These compounds are novelty destroying for claim 1 in which B is phenylene, A is thiophene, Z' is OH or OCH3, and Z is H. Claims 3 to 5 are also not novel for reasons given above.

Document (C) discloses 4'-amino-3-biphenylcarboxylic acid and 4'-amino-2-biphenylcarboxylic acid (see page 4544, column 1). These compounds are novelty destroying for claim 1 in which A and B are phenylene, Z and Z' are H. Claims 2, 3 and 5 are also not novel for reasons given above.

Document (D) discloses the NH-Boc and NH-SO2CH3 protected derivatives of 3'-amino-biphenyl-3-carboxylic acid and 5-(3'-aminophenyl)-furan-2-carboxylic acid as intermediates for the preparation of amides incorporating aminoalkylborate esters (see Scheme 1, compound 30 and Table 2). These compounds are novelty destroying for claim 1 in which A is phenyl or furyl, B is phenyl, Z is H, Boc, or SO2CH3, and Z' is OH. Claims 2-3 and 5 are also rendered not novel for reasons given above.

Document (E) discloses the t-butyl ester of 4'-amino-biphenyl-3-carboxylc acid (see Scheme 1, reaction (d), first step). This compound is novelty destroying for claim 1 in which A and b are phenylene, Z' is O-t-butyl, and Z is H. Claims 2-5 are also rendered not novel for reasons given above.

Document (F) discloses 5-(4-aminophenyl)-3-oxalylamino-thiophene-2-carboxylic acid (see compound 37) and the corresponding 5-(3-aminophenyl) derivative (compound 35). These compounds are novelty destroying for claim 1 in which A is thiophene, B is phenylene, Z' is OH and Z is H. Claims 2, 3 and 5 are also rendered not novel for reasons given above.

Claims 1 to 5 therefore do not meet the Novelty requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

The novel structural feature of claim 6 is the presence of a biarylene unit (II) moiety represented by CO-A-B-NH in the polyamide. The dependent claims 7 to 21, as well as claim 22 drawn to compounds of claim 6 for use in therapy, claim 23 drawn to pharmaceutical compositions containing compounds of claim 6, claim 24 drawn to the use of compounds of claim 6 for the preparation of medicaments, ad claim 25 drawn to

methods of treatment using compounds of claim 1 are novel by consequence.

The novel feature of claim 26 is the use of compounds of claims 1-5 as intermediates for the preparation of polyamido compounds of claim 6.

Claims 6 to 21 therefore meet the Novelty requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document (G) describes some pyrrole-amide oligomers and their binding to the DNA minor groove. Also described are benzimidazoles which are substituted by amidinophenyl-furyl moieties (see compounds DB75, DB270 and DB293). Document (H) describes pyrrole polyamides which bind to the hairpin region of DNA. In document (I) it is shown that these polyamides can also incorporate a 2-(aminopyrrolyl)-benzimidazole-6-carboxylic acid moiety. Document (J) describes the pyrrolo[2,1-c][1,4] benzodiazepine moiety which is used as an end group in the compounds of claim 11, and their interaction in the minor groove of DNA. However, in none of these documents is a suggestion given to the skilled man that the poylamide compounds of the present application which incorporate biaryl moieties of formula (II) would act as DNA minor groove binders and hence be useful for the treatment of proliferative diseases. Inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) can be recognised for claims 6 to 26 because the problem of providing further DNA minor groove binding compounds has been solved in a non obvious manner by these compounds.

For the assessment of the present claim 25 on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

VII. CERTAIN DEFECTS IN THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION

In order to meet the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, documents (A)-(F) should be identified in the description and the relevant prior art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000752

VIII. CERTAIN OBSERVATIONS ON THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION.

in view of the scope of claim 1 in which A and B are defined as "optionally substituted C5-6 arylene", which appears to include any 5 or 6 membered aryl or heteroaryl ring, which may bear any substituent, it was not possible to carry out a complete search for the claimed subject matter within a reasonable time limit. The search was therefore concentrated on compounds including aryl and heteroaryl rings as disclosed in the examples, according to the Guidelines, B-III, 3.7.